

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/560,385	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ORCHARD, MICHAEL GLEN		
	<b>Examiner</b> JOHN MABRY	<b>Art Unit</b> 1625		
<b>All Participants:</b>	<b>Status of Application:</b> _____			
(1) <u>JOHN MABRY.</u>	(3) _____.			
(2) <u>Brittany La.</u>	(4) _____.			
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>11 July 2011</u>	<b>Time:</b> <u>11:45 am</u>			
<b>Type of Interview:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____  <b>Part I.</b> Rejection(s) discussed: <i>obviousness double patenting rejection</i>  Claims discussed: <i>all pending claims</i>  Prior art documents discussed: <i>n/a</i>  <b>Part II.</b> SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>See Continuation Sheet</i>  <b>Part III.</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.				
/John Mabry/ Examiner, Art Unit 1625  <div style="text-align: right;">(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)</div>				

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In order to expedite the prosecution of this case, Examiner contacted Attorney Brittany La to the discuss the following:

a) withdrawal of the obviousness type double patenting reject and allowance of compounds and pharmaceutical composition claim; b) rejoinder of process of making claims; and cancellation of method claims - in order for pending claims to be allowed. Attny La consulted with client and gave Examiner authorization for aforementioned actions.